arXiv:1110.1110v2 [math.PR] 27 Oct 2011

ANALYSIS OF PHOTON-COUNTING PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS ATTACHED TO EUCLIDEAN LANDAU LEVELS

NIZAR DEMNI AND ZOUHAÏR MOUAYN

Abstract. The non infinite divisibility property is proved for a class of photon counting probability distributions attached to Euclidean Landau levels and a decomposition of their corresponding laws is explicitly obtained.

1. Introduction

It has been known that the photoelectric process is essentially quantum in nature [1]. Its proper explanation involves the particle properties (*photons*) of the electromagnetic field and is thereby able to explain the statistical photoemission. However form a semiclassical point of view the incidence of the electromagnetic field may be regarded as modifying the statistics of the counter. A quantitative relationship between intensity and the probability of photoemission, and hence *counts*, may be deduced from the conventional time-dependent perturbation theory of quantum mechanics. Indeed, if we suppose temporarily that there are no random fluctuations in the intensity of the electromagnetic field and we assume that the probability of counts in distinct time intervals are statistically independent and that the average number of photons per unit time is a constant λ then the resulting appropriate probability distribution X is the familiar Poisson law with parameter λ ([2, pp.16-19]).

This probability distribution arise also form the standard *coherent states* of the harmonic oscillator in the Fock-Bargmann representation involving the Bargmann space of entire Gaussian square integrable functions ([3, p.70-72]). The latter one can be realized as the eigenspace of the Hamiltonian operator with uniform magnetic field normal to the plane, which is associated with the lowest Landau level, say, ϵ_0 [4]. Moreover, to any eigenspace of this Hamiltonian, associated with an mth Landau level, say ϵ_m, correspond a class of generalized coherent sates giving arise to random variable $\widetilde{X}$ counting the number $j = 0, 1, \ldots,$ of photons with probabilities [5]:

$$\Pr\left(X = j\right) = \frac{(m \wedge j)!}{(m \vee j)!} \lambda^{|m-j|} e^{-\lambda} \left(L_{m\wedge j}^{(|m-j|)}\left(\lambda\right)\right)^2, \tag{1.1}$$

where $m \wedge j := \min\left(m, j\right)$, $m \vee j := \max\left(m, j\right)$ and $L_k^{(\alpha)}\left(.\right)$ denotes the Laguerre polynomial [6]. The expression (1.1) may also appear when calculating the probability transitions in some special cases of molecular vibrations, which are called Franck-Condon factors [7]. From (1.1), it is clear that $\widetilde{X}$ reduces to Poisson law $\mathcal{P}\left(\lambda\right)$ for $m = 0$, which is infinitely divisible [8] in the sense that for any integer n it decomposes as a sum of independent identically distributed random variables X_i as $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. Therefore, one naturally wonders if $\widetilde{X}$ is still infinitely divisible for general $m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.

In this, paper we shall prove that the infinite divisibility breaks down when $m \geq 1$. More precisely, if we denote by $x_k^{(m)}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, the ordered zeros of the Laguerre polynomial $L_m^{(0)}\left(x\right)$, then $\widetilde{X}$ is not infinitely divisible whenever $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{4}x_1^{(m)}$ or $\frac{1}{4}x_k^{(m)} \leq \lambda$ for certain integer k. We shall also give a decomposition of the law of $\widetilde{X}$ as

$$\mu_{\widetilde{X}} = \mathcal{P}(\lambda) * \delta_m * \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2} \gamma_k(\lambda, m)(\delta_k + \delta_{-k}) \right), \tag{1.2}$$

where δ_a are the Dirac mass and the coefficient $\gamma_k(\lambda, m)$ are given explicitly as polynomials functions of degree m with respect to λ.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review briefly the coherent states formalism used to construct photon counting probability distributions. While in Section 3, we recall some needed facts on the so-called generalized Bargmann spaces on the complex plane. Section 4 is devoted to recover the Poisson probability distribution as photon counting distribution associated with the Bargmann space and to discuss related known properties. In Section 5, the construction of the generalized probability distribution $\widetilde{X}$ based on a class of generalized coherent are recalled and relevant characteristic properties are also presented. In Section 6, we prove the non infinite divisibility of $\widetilde{X}$ and we establish a decomposition of its probability measure.

2. Coherent states

Here, we review a coherent states formalism starting from a measure space $\mathcal{X}$ "*as a set of data*" as presented in [3]. Precisely, let $(\mathcal{X}, \sigma)$ be a measure space and let $\mathcal{A}^2 \subset L^2(\mathcal{X}, \sigma)$ be a closed subspace of infinite dimension. We now suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a self-adjoint operator in a separable Hilbert space, say, $\mathcal{H}$, of quantum states. Let us assume that the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ has only a discrete component. Normalized eigenstates of $\mathcal{L}$ are denoted by $\{\mid \phi_j >\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ and they form an orthonormalized basis of $\mathcal{H}$. Next, suppose that this basis is in one-to-one correspondence with an orthonogonal basis $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of $\mathcal{A}^2$. Furthermore, and this is a decisive step in the wave-packet construction, we assume that

$$0 < \mathcal{N}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j^{-1} |\Phi_j(x)|^2 < +\infty, \tag{2.1}$$

almost everywhere on $\mathcal{X}$, where $\rho_j := \|\Phi_j\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{X},\sigma)}$. Then, the states in $\mathcal{H}$

$$\mid x >:= (\mathcal{N}(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_j(x)}{\sqrt{\rho_j}} \mid \phi_j > \tag{2.2}$$

are coherent states labeled by points $x \in \mathcal{X}$. By this definition, the nornmalization $< x \mid x >= 1$ is ensured because of the orthonormality of the set $\{\mid \phi_j >\}$ and the presence of the normalization factor $(\mathcal{N}(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. The resolution of the unity $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ holds by virtue of the orthonormality of the set $\{\Phi_j\}$. Indeed, we have, in terms of Dirac's bra-ket notations:

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mid x >< x \mid \mathcal{N}(x)\, \sigma(dx) = \sum_{j,k} \mid \phi_j >< \phi_k \mid \rho_j^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi_j(x) \overline{\Phi_k(x)} \sigma(dx) \tag{2.3}$$

$$= \sum_j \mid \phi_j >< \phi_j \mid = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where we have used the orthonormality of the Φ_j's and the resolution of the unity in $\mathcal{H}$ obeyed by the orthonormalized basis $\{\mid \phi_j >\}$. A statistical aspect of these coherent states is that we have, for almost each x, a discrete distribution

$$j \mapsto \Pr(X = j) := (\mathcal{N}(x))^{-1} \left| \frac{\Phi_j(x)}{\sqrt{\rho_j}} \right|^2 \tag{2.5}$$

which could be considered as concerning experiments performed on the system to measure spectral values of the "quantum observable" $\mathcal{L}$.

3. The generalized Bargmann spaces $\mathcal{A}_m^2(\mathbb{C})$

In suitable units and up to additive constant, the motion of a charged particle in a constant uniform magnetic field in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is described by the Schrodinger operator

$$H_B = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\left(\partial_{x_j} + \sqrt{-1}By_j\right)^2 + \left(\partial_{y_j} - \sqrt{-1}Bx_j\right)^2\right) - \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.1}$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mu)$, $d\mu$ being the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^2$, $B > 0$ is the strength of the magnetic field. We identify the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathbb{C}$ in the usual way to use the complex structure. The operator H_B in (3.1) can be represented by the operator

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_B := e^{\frac{1}{2}B|z|^2} H_B e^{-\frac{1}{2}B|z|^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

According to (3.2), an arbitrary state ϕ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mu)$ is represented by the function $Q[\phi]$ of $L^2(\mathbb{C}\, e^{-B|z|^2} d\mu)$ defined by

$$Q[\phi](z) := e^{\frac{1}{2}B|z|^2}\phi(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.3}$$

The unitary map Q is called ground state transformation. Explicit expression for the operator in (3.2) is:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_B = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \overline{z_j}} + B\overline{z_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_j}}. \tag{3.4}$$

From now on, we take $B = 1$ in (3.4) and we consider the *magnetic Laplacian* $\widetilde{\Delta} := \widetilde{\Delta}_1$ acting in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{C}\, e^{-|z|^2} d\mu)$ of complex-valued Gaussian square integrable functions. The spectrum of the operator $\widetilde{\Delta}$ consists of eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity of the form (*Landau levels*):

$$\epsilon_m := m, \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots . \tag{3.5}$$

The corresponding eigenspaces

$$\mathcal{A}_m^2(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{\varphi \in L^2\left(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2} d\mu\right),\ \widetilde{\Delta}\varphi = \epsilon_m \varphi\right\} \tag{3.6}$$

are called generalized Bargmann spaces on $\mathbb{C}$. For each fixed m, the space in (3.6) admits an orthogonal basis

$$\Phi_{m,j}(z) = (-1)^{m\wedge j}\,(m\wedge j)!\,|z|^{|m-j|}\,e^{-i(m-j)\arg z} L_{m\wedge j}^{(|m-j|)}\left(|z|^2\right) \tag{3.7}$$

with the norm square given by

$$\rho_{m,j} := \|\Phi_{m,j}\|^2 = \pi m! j!. \tag{3.8}$$

Finally, let us note that the eigenspaces in (3.6) satisfy

$$L^2\left(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2} d\mu\right) = \mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{A}_1^2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_m^2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots . \tag{3.9}$$

By [4], it was proved that the eigenspace $\mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the lowest Landau level $\epsilon_0 = 0$ coincides with the well known Bargmann space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of entire functions in $L^2\left(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2} d\mu\right)$. That is, $\mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$.

4. The Poisson law $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ and the eigenspace $\mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{C})$

In this section, we recover the definition of the Poisson distribution as a photon-counting probability distribution attached to the lowest Landau level ϵ_0. We will also recall some known properties of this law.

In order to apply the above coherent states formalism, we first list the following elements.

- $(\mathcal{X}, \sigma) = \left(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2} d\mu\right)$ with $\sigma := e^{-|z|^2} d\mu$, μ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$.
- $\mathcal{A}^2 := \mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{C}) \subset L^2\left(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2} d\mu\right)$ is the eigenspace of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ corresponding to the lowest Landau level ϵ_0 or the Bargmann space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$.
- $\mathcal{N}_0(z) = \pi^{-1} e^{|z|^2}$ is a normalization factor
- An orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\Phi_{0,j}(z) := \frac{z^j}{\sqrt{\pi j!}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{4.1}$$

- The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ can be taken as $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ on which the quantum harmonic oscillator $\mathcal{L} = -\partial_x^2 + x^2$ is acting.
- $\{\phi_j\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$ are elements of an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of eigenstates of the operator $\mathcal{L}$.

$$\mid \phi_z > := (\mathcal{N}_0(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{z^j}{\sqrt{\pi j!}} \mid \phi_j >, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{4.2}$$

In view of (4.1) the probability of finding j photons in the coherent state $\mid \phi_z >$ is given according to (2.5) by the squared modulus of $\langle \phi_z, \phi_j \rangle$ as

$$\Pr(X = j) = p_j\left(|z|^2\right) = \left|\langle \phi_z, \phi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right|^2 = \frac{\left(|z|^2\right)^j}{j!} e^{-|z|^2} \tag{4.3}$$

which is recognized as a standard Poisson probability distribution with a *mean value* $\lambda := |z|^2$. The parameter λ represents the average number of photons in the coherent states $\mid \phi_z >$.

We now recall some known properties of $X \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$.

1. Let $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x. Then cumulative function $X \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ reads

$$F_0^c(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{[x]} p_j(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{[x]} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} = \frac{1}{[x]!} \Gamma(1 + [x]; \lambda), \tag{4.4}$$

where $\Gamma(1 + q; u)$ denotes the incomplete gamma function [6].

2. The characteristic function (c.f) of the random $X \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ is defined by the formula

$$\varphi_X(u) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{iuX}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} e^{iju} p_j(\lambda), \tag{4.5}$$

where u is a real number, $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit. Explicitly,

$$\varphi_X^o(u) = \exp\left(\lambda\left(e^{iu} - 1\right)\right). \tag{4.6}$$

3. The mean and the variance are given by

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = Var(X) = \lambda. \tag{4.7}$$

4. Note also that (4.6) can be also written as

$$\varphi_X^o(u) = \left(\varphi_{X_{\frac{1}{n}}}(u)\right)^n, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\varphi_{X_{\frac{1}{n}}}(u) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\left(e^{iu}-1\right)\right) \tag{4.9}$$

is the characteristic function of a Poisson probability distribution with parameter λ/n. This means the well known infinite divisibility of $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$.

5. The generalized Poisson law $\mathcal{P}(\lambda, m)$ and the eigenspace $\mathcal{A}_m^2(\mathbb{C})$

We review briefly the construction of coherent states attached to Landau levels as [5]. In order to adopt (2.3), we first list the following elements.

- $(\mathcal{X}, \sigma) = \left(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2} d\mu\right)$
- $\mathcal{A}^2 := \mathcal{A}_m^2(\mathbb{C}) \subset L^2\left(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2} d\mu\right)$ is the generalized Bargmann space in (3.6).
- $\{\Phi_{m,j}\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$ are the eigenfunctions of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ given in (3.7).
- $\rho_{m,j} = \pi m! j!$ as in (3.8).
- $\mathcal{N}(z) = \pi^{-1} e^{|z|^2}$ is a normalization factor.
- $\{\phi_j\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$ are elements of an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that dim $\mathcal{H} = \dim \mathcal{A}_m^2(\mathbb{C}) = \infty$.

For each fixed $m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, we define a set of coherent states according to (2.3) by

$$\mid \phi_{z,m} >= (\mathcal{N}(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi_{m,j}(z)}{\sqrt{\rho_{m,j}}} \mid \phi_j > . \tag{5.1}$$

The system being prepared in the coherent state (5.1), then the probability of finding j photons is given according to (2.5) by the squared modulus of the scalar product

$$\langle \phi_{z,m}, \phi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = (\ \mathcal{N}(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Phi_{m,j}(z)}{\sqrt{\rho_{m,j}}}. \tag{5.2}$$

This probability is of the form

$$p_j(\lambda, m) = \frac{(m \wedge j)!}{(m \vee j)!} \lambda^{|m-j|} e^{-\lambda} \left(L_{m\wedge j}^{(|m-j|)}(\lambda)\right)^2. \tag{5.3}$$

for varying $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$,with $\lambda = |z|^2$. In this case, the random variable $\widetilde{X}$ counting the number j of photons was denoted $\widetilde{X} \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda, m)$ and called in [1] a generalized Poisson distribution attached to space $\mathcal{A}_m^2(\mathbb{C})$ or to the mth Landau level. We now will summarize some of its principal characteristic quantities as follows.

1. We start by the distribution (*cumulative*) function (d.f):

$$F_m^c(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{[x]} p_j(\lambda, m), \qquad x \in [0, +\infty), \tag{5.4}$$

where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x. We can make use of the Charlier polynomials ([6]):

$$Q_q(l, \lambda) = q! L_q^{l-q}(\lambda), \tag{5.5}$$

where q is the degree of Laguerre polynomial $L_q^{(l-q)}(\lambda)$ and $(l-q)$ the order to rewrite (5.4) as

$$F_m^c(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{m!}\sum_{j=0}^{[x]}\frac{\lambda^{|m-j|}}{j!}\left(Q_{m\wedge j}\left((m\vee j);\lambda\right)\right)^2, \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{5.6}$$

2. The characteristic function (c.f) of the random $\widetilde{X}\sim\mathcal{P}(\lambda,m)$ is defined by the formula

$$\varphi_{\widetilde{X}}(u) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{iu\widetilde{X}}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} e^{iju}p_j(\lambda,m), \tag{5.7}$$

where u is a real number, $i=\sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit. Explicitly, we

$$\varphi_{\widetilde{X}}^m(u) = \exp\left(\lambda\left(e^{iu}-1\right)\right)e^{imu}L_m^{(0)}\left(2\lambda\left(1-\cos u\right)\right), \qquad u\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{5.8}$$

3. It is known that if $M_{\widetilde{X}}(u) := \varphi_{\widetilde{X}}(-iu)$ exists, at least in a neighborhood of $u=0$, then $M_{\widetilde{X}}(u)$ is called the moment generating function (m.g.f) of $\widetilde{X}$. In this case all moments of $\widetilde{X}$ exists and can be obtained by higher differentiation of $M_{\widetilde{X}}(u)$ as

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{X}^k\right) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^k}M_{\widetilde{X}}(u)\mid_{u=0}. \tag{5.9}$$

In our case, the moment generating function is of the form

$$M_{\widetilde{X}}^m(u) = \exp\left(\lambda\left(e^u-1\right)-mu\right)L_m\left(2\lambda(1-\cosh u)\right). \tag{5.10}$$

4. The computation of the first and second moment gives the mean and the variance of $\widetilde{X}$ as follows

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{X}\right) = \lambda+m, Var\left(\widetilde{X}\right) = \lambda\left(1+2m\right). \tag{5.11}$$

Remark 5.1. *For* $m=0$, *the expression in* (5.11) *reduces to* (4.7) *the which are characteristic of* $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$.

6. Analysis of $\widetilde{X}\sim\mathcal{P}(\lambda,m)$

Recall that a random variable Y is infinitely divisible if for any integer n it decomposes as a sum of independent identically distributed (iid) random variables $Y_{1/n}^{(k)}, 1\leq k\leq n$ (called infinitesimal parts, see [8]):

$$Y = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{1/n}^{(k)}. \tag{6.1}$$

In particular, the characteristic function does not vanish and this is only a necessary condition for infinite divisibility on the real line; (see [8] for the general theory). As matter of fact, in our setting, for any $m\geq 1$, the parameter λ must satisfy

$$2\lambda\left(1-\cos u\right)\neq x_k^{(m)}, \qquad 1\leq k\leq m, \tag{6.2}$$

for all $u\in\mathbb{R}$, where $x_k^{(m)}, 1\leq k\leq m$ are the ordered zeros of Laguerre polynomial $L_m(x)$, which are known to be simple and positive [9]. But since

$$0\leq 2\lambda\left(1-\cos u\right)\leq 4\lambda, \tag{6.3}$$

then one has to do the restriction $\lambda<\frac{1}{4}x_1^{(m)}$ to avoid the vanishing of the characteristic function when looking if $\widetilde{X}\sim\mathcal{P}(\lambda,m)$ is infinitely divisible or not. In fact, taking into account this discussion on the location of the parameter λ with respect to zeros of Laguerre polynomials, we establish the following result

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $x_k^{(m)}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, *denote the ordered zeros of the Laguerre polynomial* $L_m(x)$. *Then, if* $\frac{1}{4}x_k^{(m)} \leq \lambda$, *for some* k, *or* $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{4}x_1^{(m)}$, *then* $\widetilde{X} \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda, m)$ *is not infinitely divisible.*

Proof. If for some k, $1 \leq k \leq m$, we have that $\frac{1}{4}x_k^{(m)} \leq \lambda$ then the characteristic function $\Phi_X^m(u)$ in (5.8) will vanish at the point

$$u_k := ar\cos\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}x_k^{(m)}\right) \tag{6.4}$$

and then X can not be infinitely divisible. But if $\lambda < \frac{1}{4}x_1^{(m)}$ then $2\lambda(1-\cos u) \neq x_k^{(m)}, 1 \leq k \leq m$, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, where the ordered zeros $x_k^{(m)}, 1 \leq k \leq m$ of Laguerre polynomial $L_m(x)$ are known to be simple and positive, so that the characteristic function can not vanish. Now, since X is discrete, we shall use for instance the following criterion for infinite divisibility ([8, p.51]): A discrete probability distribution $(p_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ with $p_0 > 0$ is infinitely divisible if and only if the logarithmic derivative of its generating function

$$P(z) := \sum_{k\geq 0} p_k z^k, \qquad z \in [0,1] \tag{6.5}$$

satisfies

$$\frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} = \sum_{k\geq 0} r_k z^k, \qquad r_k \geq 0 \tag{6.6}$$

for $z \in [0,1)$. In order to apply this criterion, we note first that the characteristic function $\Phi_{\widetilde{X}}^m$ extends to an analytic function on the upper-half plane $\mathbb{C}^+$ since $\widetilde{X}$ is valued in $\mathbb{N}$. As a matter of fact, the exponential moment generating function of $\widetilde{X}$ is well-defined for $u \geq 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-u\widetilde{X}}\right) = \exp\left(\lambda\left(e^{-u}-1\right) - mu\right) L_m\left(2\lambda\left(1-\cosh u\right)\right). \tag{6.7}$$

Setting $z = e^{-u}$ then $z \in [0,1]$ and the probability generating function of X reads

$$P(z) = \mathbb{E}\left(z^{\widetilde{X}}\right) = \exp\left(\lambda\left(z-1\right)\right) z^m L_m^{(0)}\left(-\frac{\lambda}{z}(1-z)^2\right). \tag{6.8}$$

Hence, a direct calculation give successively

$$\frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} = \lambda + \frac{m}{z} + \frac{d}{dz} Log L_m^{(0)}\left(-\frac{\lambda}{z}(1-z)^2\right) \tag{6.9}$$

$$= \lambda + \frac{m}{z} + \frac{d}{dz}\sum_{k=1}^{m} Log\left(x_k^{(m)} + \frac{\lambda}{z}(1-z)^2\right) \tag{6.10}$$

$$= \lambda + \frac{m}{z} - \frac{1}{z}\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda\left(1-z^2\right)}{zx_k^{(m)} + \lambda(1-z)^2} \tag{6.11}$$

$$= \lambda + \frac{1}{z}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[1 - \frac{\lambda\left(1-z^2\right)}{zx_k^{(m)} + \lambda(1-z)^2}\right] \tag{6.12}$$

$$= \lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{x_k^{(m)} - 2\lambda\left(1-z^2\right)}{zx_k^{(m)} + \lambda(1-z)^2}. \tag{6.13}$$

This defines an entire function whose constant term is computed as

$$\lambda - 2m + \frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{(m)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\lambda^2 - 2m\lambda + m^2\right), \tag{6.14}$$

where the last equality follows from the expression of the Laguerre polynomial

$$L_m(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\frac{m!}{j!\,(m-j)!}\frac{(-x)^j}{j!}. \tag{6.15}$$

Thus the constant term is obviously positive and we shall prove that the first derivative at $z=0$ is negative. By direct calculations, this derivative equals

$$-\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left(2m\lambda^2-4\lambda m^2+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(x_i^{(m)}\right)^2\right). \tag{6.16}$$

Next, we use the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(x_i^{(m)}\right)^2=m^4-2\sum_{k<l}x_k^{(m)}x_l^{(m)}=m^2\,(2m-1)\,. \tag{6.17}$$

Thus, we need to check that the polynomial

$$R(\lambda):=2m\lambda^2-4\lambda m^2+m^2\,(2m-1) \tag{6.18}$$

has a strictly positive sign for $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{4}x_1^{(m)}$. The zeros of $R(\lambda)$ are

$$\lambda_-:=m-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2m},\qquad \lambda_+:=m+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2m}. \tag{6.19}$$

So $R(\lambda)>0$ for $\lambda\notin[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]$. We need to check that

$$\frac{1}{4}x_1^{(m)}<\lambda_-. \tag{6.20}$$

This is why we need an estimate on the "first" zero $x_1^{(m)}$. By ([9, p.125]) the following estimates holds for the least zero $x_1^{(m)}$ for the Laguerre polynomial $L_m^{(\alpha)}(x)$:

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{J}_1/2)^2}{m+(\alpha+1)/2}<x_1^{(m)}\leq\frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+3)}{2m+\alpha+1},\qquad m=0,1,2,\cdots, \tag{6.21}$$

where $\mathfrak{J}_1$ stands for the least positive zero of the Bessel's function $J_\alpha(x)$. Applying this in the case $\alpha=0$, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{4}x_1^{(m)}\leq\frac{3/4}{2m+1},\qquad m=0,1,2,\cdots, \tag{6.22}$$

Now, we can check that

$$\frac{3/4}{2m+1}<m-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2m}=\lambda_- \tag{6.23}$$

for $m\geq 1$. This ends the proof. □

Remark 6.2. *Infinite divisibility behavior of the ground state probability distribution for some selected quantum systems in the Euclidean quantum mechanics setting was discussed in* [10].

Now, to establish a decomposition for the measure of $\widetilde{X}\sim\mathcal{P}(\lambda,m)$, we first need the prove the following result.

Lemma 6.3. *For* $m=0,1,2,\cdots$, $\lambda>0$*and* $u\in\mathbb{R}$, *the Laguerre polynomial in* (5.8) *decomposes as*

$$L_m(2\lambda(1-\cos u))=\frac{\gamma_0(\lambda,m)}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\gamma_k(\lambda,m)\cos ku, \tag{6.24}$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$\gamma_k(\lambda,m) := 2(-1)^k \sum_{j=k}^{m} \frac{(-m)_j\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j}{\Gamma(1+k+j)\,\Gamma(1-k+j)} \frac{(4\lambda)^j}{j!}. \tag{6.25}$$

Proof. We start by writing formally (6.24) then the coefficients $\gamma_k(\lambda,m)$ can be obtained as a Fourier transform

$$\gamma_k(\lambda,m) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} (\cos ku)\, L_m(2\lambda(1-\cos u))\, du. \tag{6.26}$$

We set $u = 2x$, then (6.26) takes the form

$$\gamma_k(\lambda,m) = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} (\cos 2kx)\, L_m\left(4\lambda \sin^2 x\right) dx. \tag{6.27}$$

Next, we make use of the formula ([11, p.225]):

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{n\pi} \cos ax L_m^{(\alpha)}\left(b\sin^2 x\right) dx &= \frac{2}{a}\sin\frac{n\pi a}{2}\cos\frac{n\pi a}{2}\frac{(\alpha+1)_m}{m!} \\ &\quad \times {}_3F_3\left(-m,\frac{1}{2},1,\alpha+1,1-k,1+k;b\right)\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

for $a = 2k$, $b = 4\lambda$, $n = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$. In this particular case, the hypergeometric function ${}_3F_3$ reduces to an ${}_2F_2$ as

$${}_3F_3\left(-m,\frac{1}{2},1,1,1-k,1+k;4\lambda\right) = {}_2F_2\left(-m,\frac{1}{2},1-k,1+k;4\lambda\right). \tag{6.29}$$

The latter also reads

$${}_2F_2\left(-m,\frac{1}{2},1-k,1+k;4\lambda\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(1-k)\,\Gamma(1+k)\,(-m)_j\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j}{\Gamma(1+k+j)\,\Gamma(1-k+j)}\frac{(4\lambda)^j}{j!}, \tag{6.30}$$

where the singular part coming form $\Gamma(1-k)$ has to be understood as Euler's reflection formula [6]:

$$\frac{\sin k\pi}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-k)\,\Gamma(k)}. \tag{6.31}$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma_k(\lambda,m) := 2(-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(-m)_j\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j}{\Gamma(1+k+j)\,\Gamma(1-k+j)} \frac{(4\lambda)^j}{j!}. \tag{6.32}$$

The required formula for $\gamma_k(\lambda,m)$ follows from the fact that $z \mapsto \Gamma(z)$ is meromorphic with poles the negative integers. □

Proposition 6.4. *The law of the photon counting random variable* $\widetilde{X} \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda,m)$ *can be decomposed as*

$$\mu_{\widetilde{X}} = \mathcal{P}(\lambda) * \delta_m * \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2}\gamma_k(\lambda,m)\left(\delta_k + \delta_{-k}\right)\right), \tag{6.33}$$

where $\gamma_k(\lambda,m)$ *are given by* (6.32)*.*

Proof. The characteristic function in (5.8) can be splited to a product of three pieces: $\exp\left(\lambda\left(e^{iu}-1\right)\right)$, e^{imu} and $L_m\left(2\lambda\left(1-\cos u\right)\right)$ corresponding to three measures, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\lambda\left(e^{iu}-1\right)\right) &\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\lambda\right) \\ e^{imu} &\longleftrightarrow \delta_m \\ L_m\left(2\lambda\left(1-\cos u\right)\right) &\longleftrightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{1}{2}\gamma_k\left(\lambda,m\right)\left(\delta_k+\delta_{-k}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

where $\mathcal{P}\left(\lambda\right)$ is the standard Poisson distribution, δ_m is the Dirac mass at the point m and the coefficients $\gamma_k\left(\lambda,m\right)$ are given in Lemma 6.3. □

Remark 6.5. *The coefficients $\gamma_k\left(\lambda,m\right)$ can take negative values. For instance when $m=1$, the Laguerre polynomial reads*

$$L_1^{(0)}\left(2\lambda\left(1-\cos u\right)\right)=1-2\lambda\left(1-\cos u\right)=\left(1-2\lambda\right)+2\lambda\cos u. \tag{6.35}$$

Here $\gamma_0\left(\lambda,1\right)=1-2\lambda$ and $\gamma_1\left(\lambda,1\right)=2\lambda$. It is clear that the sign of $\gamma_0\left(\lambda,1\right)$ changes according to $\lambda<\frac{1}{2}$ or $\lambda>\frac{1}{2}$. We should look very closely to sign of the coefficient $\gamma_k\left(\lambda,m\right)$ or to the polynomial

$$\mathfrak{Q}\left(\lambda\right):={}_2F_2\left(-m,\frac{1}{2},1-k,1+k;4\lambda\right) \tag{6.36}$$

or at least $\gamma_0\left(\lambda,m\right)$ may be it could encode information about super/sub Poissonian statistics of the photon counting distribution $X\sim\mathcal{P}\left(\lambda,m\right)$ since this character depends on the wether $\lambda<\frac{1}{2}$ or $\lambda>\frac{1}{2}$; see Proposition **??** *in* [5]. *The classical character (Poissonian) of the distribution is encoded in the Poisson distribution $\mathcal{P}\left(\lambda\right)$ part of the decomposition.*

References

[1] A. Einstein, *Ann. Physik*, 17, 132 (1905)

[2] J.R. Klauder and E.C.G. Sudarshan, *Fundamentals of Quantum Optics,* W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1968

[3] J-P. Gazeau, Coherent states in quantum physics, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA Weinheim 2009

[4] N. Askour, A. Intissar and Z. Mouayn, Espaces de Bargmann généralisés et formules explicites pour leurs noyaux reproduisants, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris*, t.**325**, Série I, pp.707-712 (1997)

[5] Z. Mouayn and M. Touhami, Probability distributions attached to generalized Bargmann-Fock spaces in the complex plane, *Infinite dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability & Related fields*, **13,** No.2, 2010

[6] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, "Table of Integrals, Series and Products", Academic Press, INC (2007)

[7] Seshadri and V. M. Kenkre, Theory of the interplay of luminescence and vibrational relaxation: a master-equation approach. *Phys. Rev. A (3)*, 17(1):223–237, 1978.

[8] F.W. Steutel and K V Harn, Infinite divisibility of probabilty distributions on the real line, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York. Basel, 2004

[9] G. Szegö, Orthogonal polynomials. American Mathematical Society; Providence, R.I. (1975)

[10] J.R. Klauder, Infinite divisibility in Euclidean quantum mechanics, *arXiv: quant-ph/060140v1* 6 Jan 2006

[11] Y.A. Brychkov, Handbook of Special functions, Derivatives, Integrals, Series and others Formulas, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis group, LLC, 2008

(N.D.) IRMAR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35 042 Rennes cedex, France
E-mail address: `nizar.demni@univ-rennes1.fr`

(Z.M.) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Technics (M'Ghila), Sultan Moulay Slimane University, PO. Box 523, Béni Mellal, Morocco
E-mail address: `mouayn@fstb.ac.ma`